




HISTORY OF CARLSON ROAD CONTROL STRUCTURES



HISTORY OF CARLSON ROAD CONTROL STRUCTURES



HISTORY OF CARLSON ROAD CONTROL STRUCTURES



HISTORY OF CARLSON ROAD CONTROL STRUCTURES

1963

1964

1965

1966


1967

1968

1969

1970

1971



HISTORY OF CARLSON ROAD CONTROL STRUCTURES

1972

1973

1974

1975

1976

1977

1978

1979

1980

WDNR issues permit to dredge between Round Lake and Little Round Lake. SC1175-78

HISTORY OF CARLSON ROAD CONTROL STRUCTURES

1981

1982

1983

1984

1985

1986

1987

1988

1989

7/13/84 – Mike Cain advised Roger Jasinski re: outlet structure 1) can't enforce 1941 PSC order; 2) can't do anything to enforce existing levels order – county doing everything they can; 3) PSC actions in 1949 & 1953 probably constitute approval; 4) if property owners want new level have to submit petition & develop engineering proposal. H584

8/27/84 WDNR tells property owner that dam is unable to pass 150 c.f.s. “unless it exceeds the maximum water level by 3.40 feet.” It also notes the sill of the dam is at 75.883' even though it shouldn't be higher than 75.25'. SC1154-57

2/7/85 – Ltr. from AG – DNR does not have the authority to enforce 1941 PSCW order & DNR doesn't have authority to require Sawyer Co. to maintain channel. H592-593

HISTORY OF CARLSON ROAD CONTROL STRUCTURES

1990

1991

1992

1993

1994

1995

1996

1997

1998

3/31/92 – Ltr from WDNR to Sawyer Co. - Water over half foot over authorized maximum. Waters controlled by Little Round Lake dam which is owned by you. Have someone check it and bring into authorized range. H594

8/8/95 – WDNR to Sawyer Co. – Complaints over rapid loss of shoreline at properties. Round Lake higher than authorized max level. One stop log in place at Dam with only trickle of water flowing through, while 8 in. of water flowing over Tiger Cat diversion canal into Round Lake & only 3 in. over Tiger Cat dam. Tampering taking place at Tiger Cat; Boards not secure. Exceeding levels can result in takings of property. If individual filed suit, County would be liable. H595