

Dear Mr. Lenz:

This is in follow-up to the recent public meeting on 6/29/07 where you made a presentation that appears to us to contradict the Hydraulic Controls Evaluation report drafted by SEH March of 2007.

In that report you recommend that a wider weir REPLACE the existing Carlson Dam to maximize the amount of water leaving Round Lake during times of high lake level. You state that the existing structure is adequate for low water control.

See page 11 last paragraph of your March 2007 report and attachments specifically the proposed questions one.

It appears to us that you are now NOT recommending replacing the existing Carlson Dam but talking about a scenario where a new dam is put in front of the existing Carlson Dam. Is this true that you are now talking about not removing the existing old dam if a new dam is put in? We could agree with you and the county that this most likely does not make hydraulic sense if that is in fact what you think now.

What made you change your public presentation and to not present what is in your original March 2007 Hydraulic Control report?

It is also clear to us that any talk about the beaver dams upstream of NN need to be qualified in how they impact Round Lake at specific levels (sill elevations). It is most likely true that most of our members want Round Lake at least at the 1941 PSC order levels and some want it higher. Very few people want it lower. So most of your presentation about the

beaver dams upstream of NN may be misleading at best considering this information. You seemed to be mainly talking about the beaver dam impact at lake levels lower than the 1941 order. It was also confusing because you mixed up your terminology for high and low water controls during the presentation. Did the county direct you to do this?

This new scenario of not replacing and removing the existing dam is not in your original report. Has the county suggested these changes to you?

Your assertion that a reason for this change is the flood level would be altered on Osprey Lake would need to be documented, verified and proven. According to your report on page 11 flows would increase by 10%+ depending on water level with a new wider weir completely replacing the existing Carlson Dam. How could these modest flows alter the flood level particularly if the NN culverts are lowered?

Please let us know if we do not understand your apparent NEW public verbal presentation correctly. Have you officially modified your original report and I have an old copy?

Please explain this apparent change and let us know if you have additional changes, clarifications or modifications that are not in your original report.

Sincerely,

Tom Kintzinger RLPOA Admin